




OBSERVATION

Ramiz Raj Khan. Assistant Teacher, Geography(H/PG) :He is claiming himself as Assistant Teacher of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah on the strength of the meeting Resolution no. 01/2016 dated 17.01.2016. Admittedly, Ramiz Raj Khan never appeared in any written examination or before any Interview Board for being recruited as Assistant Teacher of the Madrasah. As per the petitioner, he could not work in the Madrasah on and from 18.09.2018 since the local villagers forcibly debarred him from entering into the Madrasah. One Work Done Certificate issued by the Secretary of the Madrasah has been filed by the petitioner. Syed Imrat Hossain has been working as Headmaster of the Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he never had seen Ramiz Raj Khan to work as Assistant Teacher, Geography in the Madrasah. As per the statement of the Headmaster, there is no reflection in the Attendance Register, UDISE and in the bio-metric attendance or in the Resolution Book about the attendance and working of Ramiz Raj Khan as Assistant Teacher of the Madrasah. This Madrasah introduced bio-metric system of attendance in the year 2013. The Headmaster did not issue any Work Done Certificate in favour of Ramiz Raj Khan. The D.I. of Schools corroborated such statement of the Headmaster by filing a report thereof against Ramiz Raj Khan.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that Ramiz Raj Khan was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Nikhat Parveen, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) :The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of her alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification and was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/2027
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2025
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/08/2022
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Nafisa Ali, Assistant Teacher, Work Education (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Work Education (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of her alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification and was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/23



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Imran Khan, Group – C (Clerk) : The petitioner is claiming himself as Clerk of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah on the strength of special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015. The headmaster however, categorically denied about the alleged recruitment of the petitioner following the rules of recruitment. The Headmaster also did not grant any Work Done Certificate in favour of Imran Khan. There is no signature of Imran Khan in the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools by filing a report submitted that the claim of Imran Khan as Clerk of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah is false and fabricated. We also do not find any resolution in the Resolution Book about the process of recruitment of the petitioner following the rules of recruitment and the signature of the petitioner in the Attendance Register.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited under the rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah as Clerk.


1. Justice Debi Prasad Bera
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member) 01/08/2023



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member) 01/08/2023


OBSERVATION

Shek Noushad Ali, Group – D : Shek Noushad Ali is a resident of Bokaro, Jharkhand. As per his statement, he did not attend the Madrasah since 2018 though he was recruited in terms of special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015. The Headmaster, however, categorically denied about the alleged recruitment of the petitioner following the rules of recruitment. The Headmaster also did not grant any Work Done Certificate in favour of Shek Noushad Ali. There is no signature of Shek Noushad Ali in the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools by filing a report submitted that the claim of Shek Noushad Ali as Group-D of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah is false and fabricated. We also do not find any resolution in the Resolution Book about the process of recruitment of the petitioner following the rules of recruitment and the signature of the petitioner in the Attendance Register.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the petitioner was never recruited under the rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah as Group-D.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman)


2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Sk. Abdul Salam, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (H/PG) :He is claiming himself as Assistant Teacher of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah on the strength of the meeting Resolution no. 01/2016 dated 17.01.2016. Admittedly, Sk. Abdul Salam never appeared in any written examination or before any Interview Board for being recruited as Assistant Teacher of the Madrasah. As per the petitioner, he could not work in the Madrasah on and from 18.09.2018 since the local villagers forcibly debarred him from entering into the Madrasah. One Work Done Certificate issued by the Secretary of the Madrasah has been filed by the petitioner. Syed Imrat Hossain has been working as Headmaster of the Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he never had seen Sk. Abdul Salam to work as Assistant Teacher, Bengali in the Madrasah. As per the statement of the Headmaster, there is no reflection in the Attendance Register, UDISE and in the bio-metric attendance or in the Resolution Book about the attendance and working of Sk. Abdul Salam as Assistant Teacher of the Madrasah. This Madrasah introduced bio-metric system of attendance in the year 2013. The Headmaster did not issue any Work Done Certificate in favour of Sk. Abdul Salam. The D.I. of Schools corroborated such statement of the Headmaster by filing a report thereof against Sk. Abdul Salam.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that Sk. Abdul Salam was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in that Madrasah.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey *01/08/2023*
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta *01/08/2023*
(Member)


Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
01/8/2023


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Papu Jana, Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)

OBSERVATION

Anita Maity, Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Pure Science (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of her alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.


Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.



1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/2023
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)





3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sabina Islam, Assistant Teacher, Bio-Science (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bio-Science (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of her alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/2023
(Chairman)




2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)




3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)



OBSERVATION

Sk. Nazmul Hossein, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Arun Pal, Assistant Teacher, Work Education (Pass) : As per the petitioner, he was recruited following special meeting no. 02/SPL/2016 dated 08.02.2016 and he stopped attending the Madrasah since 2018. On scrutiny of the Resolution Book of the Managing Committee, we do not find any such resolution on the strength of which the petitioner has been recruited. The Headmaster has also stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment and he never signed on the Attendance Register. The D.I. of School also corroborated such statement of the Headmaster. No Work Done Certificate was issued by the Headmaster. Therefore, we may safely ignore the Work Done Certificate issued by the Secretary of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah. The petitioner also could not say that Hasib Khan was the Secretary of the Managing Committee when he joined the Madrasah.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that Arun Pal was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 08/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member) 01/8/2023

OBSERVATION

Amit Das, Group – C (Clerk) : On the strength of Special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, the petitioner is claiming himself to be an employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah. On scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any such resolution on the strength of which the petitioner was recruited following the rules of recruitment. The Headmaster emphatically stated that the claim of the petitioner as employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah is false and fabricated and he was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. The petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated with the statement of the Headmaster. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate in favour of the Petitioner.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are ^{of considered} ~~confident to hold~~ the opinion that the Petitioner was never recruited following the rules of ^{of} ~~recruitment~~ being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah.

Debi Prosad Dey

1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman)

Manish Gupta

2. Shri Manish Gupta *01/08/2022*
(Member)


SR Mukhopadhyay *01/8/2022*


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Abdur Rafik, Group – D : On the strength of Special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, the petitioner is claiming himself to be an Group-D employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah. On scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any such resolution on the strength of which the petitioner was recruited following the rules of recruitment. The Headmaster emphatically stated that the claim of the petitioner as employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah is false and fabricated and he was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. The petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated with the statement of the Headmaster. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate in favour of the Petitioner.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are ^{of considered} confident to hold the opinion that the Petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/2022
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2022
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2022
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Anisur Rahaman, Group - D : On the strength of Special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, the petitioner is claiming himself to be an employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah. On scrutiny of the original Resolution Book, we do not find any such resolution on the strength of which the petitioner was recruited following the rules of recruitment. The Headmaster emphatically stated that the claim of the petitioner as employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah is false and fabricated and he was never recruited following the rules of recruitment. The petitioner never signed in the official Attendance Register. The D.I. of Schools also corroborated with the statement of the Headmaster. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate in favour of the Petitioner.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are ^{of considered} ~~confident~~ ^{on} to hold the opinion that the Petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and he never worked in the Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Ashadul Mondal, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/08/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sourav Maity, Assistant Teacher, Physics (H/PG) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Physics (H/PG) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sital Bera, Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bengali (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 09/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 07/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Mitupriya Jana, Assistant Tteacher, English (H/PG) : She is claiming herself to be the Assistant Teacher, English (H/PG) on the strength of Special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015 and that she was recruited pursuant to the direction of Hon'ble High Court at Kolkata at AST no. 192/2014, AST no. 140/2014 with MAT no. 473/2014. She has produced one Work Done Certificate issued by the Secretary for the period from 16.11.2015 to 31.08.2018. We have gone through the judgment of Hon'ble High Court as referred to hereinabove and found that no such direction has been given by Hon'ble High Court to appoint the petitioner as Assistant Teacher, English (H/PG) in the Madrasah. Syed Imrant Hossain has been working as Headmaster of the Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he never had any occasion to see the petitioner worked as Assistant Teacher, English in the Madrasah. As per the statement of the Headmaster, there is no reflection in the Attendance Register, UDISE and in the bio-metric attendance or in the Resolution Book about the attendance and working of Mirupriya Jana as English Teacher of the Madrasah. This Madrasah introduced bio-metric system of attendance in the year 2013. The Headmaster did not issue any Work Done Certificate in favour of Mitupriya Jana. The D.I. of Schools corroborated such statement of the Headmaster by filing a report thereof against Mitupriya Jana.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that Mitupriya Jana was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in the Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2022



2. Shri Manish Gupta
(Member) 01/08/2022



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay
(Member) 01/08/2022


OBSERVATION

Sk. Ataur Rahaman, Assistant Teacher, History (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, History (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Akhtar Ali, Assistant Teacher, Arabic (H/PG) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Arabic (H/PG) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 09/08/2022
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 07/08/2022
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2022
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Md. Sujauddin, Assistant Teacher, Arabic (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Arabic (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey 01/08/2023
(Chairman)



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/08/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Md. Abu Usuf Ali, Assistant Teacher, Bio-Science (Pass) : The petitioner does not have the requisite qualification to be appointed as Assistant Teacher, Bio-Science (Pass) for not having B.Ed. degree on the date of his alleged appointment. We also do not find the resolution being special meeting no. 01/SPL/2015 dated 09.11.2015, in the original Resolution Book, on the strength of which the appointment letter was issued in favour of the petitioner. Headmaster, Syed Imrat Hossain, has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009 and he has specifically stated by producing the Attendance Register and the Resolution Book, that the petitioner was never recruited following rules of recruitment being 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015. The Headmaster also never issued any Work Done Certificate. So the Work Done Certificate submitted by the petitioner can safely be ignored.

Under the circumstances, stated above, we are of the considered view that the petitioner having no requisite qualification was never recruited following rules of recruitment being no. 93-SE/S/10R-14/2013 dated 09.02.2015 and she never worked in that Madrasah.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023



2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)



3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)


OBSERVATION

Sk. Nasibur Rahaman, Group – C (Clerk) : As per the petitioner, he was appointed in terms of special meeting no. 06/SPL/2018 dated 07.09.2018 of the Managing Committee and in terms of the direction given by Hon'ble Division Bench of Kolkata High Court in AST no. 192/2014 along with AST no. 140/2014 with MAT no. 473/2014. He has produced Work Done Certificate issued by the Secretary of the Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah upto 30.09.2022. The Headmaster Imrat Hossain has stated that there is no such resolution as stated in the appointment letter of the petitioner, in the official Resolution Book and there is no signature of the petitioner in the official Attendance Register maintained by the Madrasah. Syed Imrat Hossain has been working in that Madrasah since 08.10.2009. The Headmaster specifically stated that he did not find any resolution of the Managing Committee regarding alleged appointment of the petitioner and that the petitioner never worked as an employee of Chengail High Madrasah, Howrah. In short, the Headmaster and D.I. of Schools have categorically stated that the petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016.

Under the circumstances stated above, we are of the considered opinion that the Petitioner was never recruited following the rules of recruitment being no. 486-MD/O/2M-11/2016 dated 03.03.2016 and he never worked in the Madrasah as there is no Work Done Certificate issued by the Headmaster and signature of the Petitioner in any official Attendance Register.


1. Justice Debi Prosad Dey
(Chairman) 01/08/2023


2. Shri Manish Gupta 01/08/2023
(Member)


3. Shri Sripati Mukhopadhyay 01/8/2023
(Member)